

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

XIAOYE BAI,
Plaintiff(s),
v.
REUBART, et al.,
Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:19-cv-00739-GMN-NJK

ORDER

[Docket No. 86]

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for copies. Docket No. 86.

First, Plaintiff seeks a copy of Defendants' motion filed at Docket No. 81. Given Plaintiff's representation that he never received a copy of that filing, the Court **ORDERS** Defendants to promptly serve a copy on Plaintiff.

Second, Plaintiff seeks a copy of the docket in order to file a motion and/or a status report. Regardless of whether Plaintiff is appearing *in forma pauperis* and regardless of whether he is proceeding *pro se*, he is ultimately responsible for keeping track of filings and of the progress of the case.¹ As a one-time courtesy, however, the Court will **INSTRUCT** the Clerk's Office to send a copy of the public docket to Plaintiff.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for copies is **GRANTED** as stated above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 24, 2021



Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ Plaintiff invokes Local Rule 10-1, which requires a party seeking a copy of a filing to submit an additional copy to the Clerk's Office. That rule is not implicated in the current circumstances.